IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND ONE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 13604 of 2001

Between:

Smt. C.V.R. Mary James W/o. Sri C. James
R/o. Nalgonda, Nalgonda District. **..... PETITIONER**

AND

1 Government of India, Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Ministry of Petroleum, New Delhi.
2 The Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd., Rep. by its Managing Director,
(Government of India Enterprises), Having its regd. Office at 17,
Jamshedji Tata Road, Mumbai.
3 Chief Regional Manager, Hindustan Petroleum Corpon., Ltd.,
Cherlapally LPG Regional Office, HCL Post Office,
Post Box No.2, Cherlapally, Hyderabad-51.
4 Smt. K. Chandra, S/o. Late C.J. Kennedy,
Masidiva Upper Primary School, Masab Tank, Hyderabad.

..RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ order or direction more particularly one on the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring action of the respondents No.2 and 3 in not to grant the distributorship of LPG at Nalgonda in the name of M/s.J.M. Enterprises Rep. by the petitioner as its proprietrix, as illegal, arbitrary and consequently direct the Respondent No. 2&3 to granting the distributorship of LPG at Nalgonda in the name of as M/s. J.M. Enterprises rep. by the petitioner as its proprietrix without insisting for the Succession Certificate and without insisting for No Objection Certificate from the Respondent No.4 as stated by the Respondent 2 & 3 in the letter dated 8.5.2001

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.G.DHANANJAI (not present)

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Mr. A.RAJASHEKAR REDDY
SC for Central Govt.

Counsel for the Respondent No. 2&3: MR.RAVINDRANATH REDDY AND MR. T.VISHWARUPA CHARY

Counsel for the Respondent No. 4 : --

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

None appeared for petitioner. Learned Standing Counsel for respondent 2 and 3 submitted that a compromise was entered into between petitioner and 4th respondent and the cause in the writ petition does not survive and it has become infructuous.

Recording the same, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

28-06-2005

TVK

..... REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Principal Secretary, Government of India,
Ministry of Petroleum, New Delhi.
2 The Managing Director, Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd., ,(Government of India Enterprises), Having its regd. Office at 17,
Jamshedji Tata Road, Mumbai.
3 The Chief Regional Manager, Hindustan Petroleum Corpon., Ltd.,
Cherlapally LPG Regional Office, HCL Post Office,
Post Box No.2, Cherlapally, Hyderabad-51.
4 Smt. K. Chandra, S/o. Late C.J. Kennedy,
Masidiva Upper Primary School, Masab Tank, Hyderabad.

5) 2 CD copies